

Decision: 2014 ME 145
Docket: And-14-7
Argued: November 5, 2014
Decided: December 18, 2014
Corrected: April 2, 2015

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, SILVER, MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, and HJELM, JJ.

CYNTHIA STACEY-SOTIRIOU

v.

EVE A. SOTIRIOU

ERRATA SHEET

The opinion of this Court certified on December 18, 2014, is amended as follows:

The third sentence in [¶15] is changed to read as follows:

Noting that it had responsibility to function as *parens patriae* and act “as a wise, affectionate and careful parent seeking to discern a custody arrangement which furthers the child’s best interests, irrespective of the parents’ needs or desires” (citing *Ziehm v. Ziehm*, 433 A.2d 727⁵, 728 (Me. 1981)), the court recognized that it had to “make a close examination of the present circumstances and future needs of a minor child, and not just a limited examination of which parent is better suited to accept physical custody.”